



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,345	10/14/2003	Dale W. Malik	030183_7785-601	7192
53844 7590 09/26/2011 AT&T Legal Department - AS Attn: Patent Docketing Room 2A-207 One AT&T Way Bedminster, NJ 07921				
EXAMINER KIM, HEE SOO				
ART UNIT 2457		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 09/26/2011		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/686,345	MALIK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	HEE SOO KIM	2457	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) HEE SOO KIM. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) PAUL NEILS (Applicant's Rep.). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: NONE.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

#### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Mr. Neils has indicated the application is no longer being prosecuted and directed Examiner to contact attny Mike Dixon for more information. Call attempts have been to Mr. Dixon however, was unsuccessful in reaching him. Therefore, the application is hereby abandoned since a correspondence has not been made to the Non-Final action mailed on 02/28/11(>6 months).

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/ARIO ETIENNE/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457